

POLICY: Whistleblower Policy

People and Culture Policy

Australia Policy

1 November 2022

Document Approval

Approval details		Date Approved
Author	Nicole Makin	24 Oct 2022
Approved by	John Martin	26 Oct 2022

Revision History

Document ID	Updated By	Changes	Date
20221101_P&C_Whistleblower Policy (NM)	Nicole Makin	First Approved	26 Oct 2022

Referenced Documents

Ref	Document ID	Author	Location/Link	Date

Table of Contents

1.	PURPOSE OF THIS POLICY	4
	WHO DOES THIS POLICY APPLY TO?	_
	ELIGIBLE DISCLOSURES	_
_	WHO CAN RECEIVE A DISCLOSURE?	_
5.	MAKING A DISCLOSURE	
_	LEGAL PROTECTIONS FOR DISCLOSURES	
	SUPPORT AND PRACTICAL PROTECTION FOR DISCLOSURES	,
	HANDLING AND INVESTIGATING A DISCLOSURE	
	FAIR TREATMENT OF INDIVIDUALS MENTIONED IN A DISCLOSURE	
	ACCOUNTABILITY & CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	-

1. PURPOSE OF THIS POLICY

The purpose of this Whistleblower Policy (the 'Policy') is to ensure that wrongdoings and conduct not aligned with Windlab policies are identified so that individuals can disclose wrongdoings safely, securely and with confidence that they will be protected and supported.

Windlab encourages individuals to disclose wrongdoing and speak up when something isn't right. Windlab is committed to ensuring disclosures are dealt with on a timely basis, in accordance with the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) (the 'Act') and other regulatory obligations.

This Policy is important in helping identify wrongdoing that otherwise would not have been uncovered without the protections granted by the Act which are detailed below.

2. WHO DOES THIS POLICY APPLY TO?

This Policy applies to individuals who are, or have been, any of the following in relation to Windlab.

- An officer or employee; including permanent, fulltime, fixed term or temporary roles, interns, managers and Directors.
- A supplier of servicers to Windlab (paid or unpaid) and their workers, including contractors, consultants, service providers and business partners.
- An associate of Windlab; and a relative, dependant or spouse of an individuals listed in above.

The individuals listed above are able to make a disclosure which may qualify for protection under the Act (collectively referred to as 'eligible whistleblowers').

3. ELIGIBLE DISCLOSURES

An eligible whistleblower is able to make a disclosure relating to a 'disclosable matter.'

3.1 A disclosable matter must fulfil the following criteria:

- The eligible whistleblower must have a reasonable ground to suspect conduct in point (3) has occurred. ASIC suggests an allegation with no supporting information is not likely to have 'reasonable grounds to suspect'; and
- The conduct outlined in (3) relates to Windlab or a related body corporate of Windlab; and
- Disclosable conduct which is:
 - 1) Misconduct
 - a. Negligence, breach of trust, or breach of duty
 - 2) Illegal such as;
 - a. Theft, dealing in, or use of illicit drugs or violence or threatened violence
 - b. Fraud, money laundering or misappropriation of funds
 - c. Offering or accepting a bribe
 - d. Financial irregularities

- e. Failure to comply with, or a breach or legal or regulatory requirements; and
- f. Engaging in or threatening to engage in detrimental conduct against an eligible whistleblower who has made a disclosure or is believed or suspected to make or planned to make a disclosure.
- 3) Conduct that is an offence against, or a breach of
 - a. Corporations Act 2001 (Cth)
 - b. Banking Act 1959 (Cth)
- 4) An offence against an Australian Commonwealth law (law which is not state governed law) which is punishable by imprisonment for 12 months or more; or
- 5) Business behaviour and practices that may cause consumer harm; or
- 6) Improper state of affairs or circumstances; or
- 7) Represents a danger to the public or financial system; or
- 8) Or prescribed by regulation.

(Collectively referred to as 'disclosable matters').

3.2 Disclosable matters may relate to issues of public safety but do not necessarily involve a breach of law.

Personal Work Grievances

Disclosures that relate solely to:

- 1) personal work-related grievances; and
- 2) do not relate to detriment or threat of detriment to the discloser do not qualify as a disclosable matter.

• Personal work grievances include:

- 1) conflict between an eligible whistleblower and another employer;
- 2) a decision that doesn't involve a breach of workplace laws;
- 3) a decision about a Workers employment status, transfer or promotion;
- 4) a decision about the terms of a Workers engagement or contract;
- 5) a decision to suspend, terminate or discipline a Worker.

Workers are able to raise personal work grievances via Windlab's Grievance Policy. Workers may want to consider seeking external legal advice about their rights under employment and contract law.

False Reports

Windlab discourages the reporting of deliberate false reporting, which may delay the investigation of valid disclosures. Any false reporting will be treated seriously and may be subject to reporting to appropriate authorities.

4. WHO CAN RECEIVE A DISCLOSURE?

Windlab encourages eligible whistleblowers to make a disclosure to the CEO or Stopline as the first port of call so that wrongdoing can be identified and addressed in a timely manner.

4.1 Who can receive a disclosure within Windlab?

The following people are able to receive a disclosure within Windlab:

- A person authorised by the entity to receive disclosures
- Windlab has engaged an independent whistleblowing service provider, Stopline, to receive whistleblowing disclosures. Stopline can be the first port of call for an eligible whistleblower via the following link https://windlab.stoplinereport.com/
- An internal or external auditor; OR
- Any one of the following individuals to qualify for protection:

Disclosure Officers (WPO): General Manager People and Culture

> **Chief Financial Officer Chief Executive Officer** Chair of the Windlab Board

(Collectively referred to as 'Disclosure Officers')

4.2 Who can receive a disclosure external to Windlab?

An eligible whistleblower can make a disclosure to one of the following individuals to qualify for protection:

Lawyer

A lawyer you have engaged to provide advice on the disclosure or to represent you. In the event that your lawyer concludes that a disclosure doesn't fulfil the criteria of a disclosable matter, protections still may apply.1

Regulatory Bodies

Disclosures can also be made to ASIC, or another Commonwealth body (body prescribed by regulation) and still qualify for protections granted under the Act outlined in point 6.

Public Interest Disclosure

Disclosures can be made to a journalist or parliamentarian under certain circumstances and still qualify for protection, this is called a 'public interest disclosure.' A public interest disclosure can be made where all of the below criteria are met:

1) At least 90 days have passed since the eligible whistleblower made the disclosure to ASIC or another Commonwealth body (prescribed by regulation);

- 2) The eligible whistleblower doesn't have reasonable grounds to believe that action is being, or has been taken;
- 3) The eligible whistleblower has reasonable grounds to believe making another disclosure is in the public interest; and
- 4) Before making a public interest disclosure, the eligible whistleblower has given written notice to ASIC, ACNC or another Commonwealth body (prescribed by regulation) that identifies their original disclosure, and outlines that they intend to make a public interest disclosure.

Emergency Disclosure

Disclosures can be made to a journalist or parliamentarian under certain circumstances and still qualify for protection, this is called a 'emergency disclosure.' An emergency disclosure can be made where all of the below criteria is met:

- 1) An eligible whistleblower has made the disclosure to ASIC, ACNC or another Commonwealth body (prescribed by regulation);
- 2) The eligible whistleblower has reasonable grounds to believe that the information concerns a substantial and imminent danger to the health and safety of one or more persons or the natural environment;
- 3) Before making a public interest disclosure, the eligible whistleblower has given written notice to ASIC or another Commonwealth body (prescribed by regulation) that identifies their original disclosure, and outlines that they intend to make an emergency disclosure;
- 4) The extent of the information disclosed is no greater than necessary to inform the journalist or parliamentarian of the substantial and imminent danger.

Before making a public interest or emergency disclosure it is important to ensure all of the above criteria is met. A Worker may wish to seek external legal advice from a lawyer prior to making this type of disclosure.

5. MAKING A DISCLOSURE

An eligible whistleblower who makes a disclosure (a 'discloser') is able to disclose their report by internal and external means. If the individual has any concerns regards whistleblower protections or procedures individuals can contact the third-party service, Stopline or the individuals listed in point 4 noting that they will have legal protections available to them outlined in point 6.

6. LEGAL PROTECTIONS FOR DISCLOSURES

Disclosers who fulfil the criteria outlined in points 1-4 have the following legal protections available to them for both internal and external disclosures:

6.1 Protection of a Disclosers Identity

Windlab has a legal obligation to protect the confidentiality of a discloser's identity. A person cannot disclose the identity of a discloser or information that is likely to lead to the identification of the discloser unless a person discloses the identity of a discloser to:

- ASIC, ACNC or a member of the Australia Federal Police;
- A lawyer (to obtain legal advice or legal representation);
- A person or body prescribed by regulations; or
- With the consent of the discloser

A person can disclose the information contained in the disclosure with or without the disclosers consent if:

- The information doesn't include the discloser's identity;
- Windlab has taken all reasonable steps to reduce the risk that the discloser will be identified from the information: and
- It is reasonably necessary for investigating issues mentioned in the disclosure

It is illegal for a person to identify a discloser or disclose information that is likely to lead to the identification of the discloser.

- If this has occurred, you can lodge a complaint for breach of confidentiality via Stopline.
- A discloser may also lodge a complaint with a regulator such as ASIC or ACNC for investigation.

6.2 Anonymity

Disclosures by a discloser who fulfils the criteria in points 1-5 can make a disclosure anonymously and still be protected by the Act.

A discloser who makes a disclosure can choose to remain anonymous:

- Whilst making a disclosure;
- Over the course of the investigation;
- After the investigation is finalized

A discloser can refuse to answer any questions which could reveal their identity at any time.

If a disclosure is received from an email address where a person's identity cannot be determined, it will be treated as an anonymous disclosure. Other mechanisms for protecting anonymity include adopting a pseudonym.

6.2.1 Protection from detrimental acts or omissions

A person cannot engage in conduct that causes detriment to a discloser (or another person) in relation to a disclosure if:

• The person believes or suspects that the discloser made, may have made, proposes to make or could make a valid disclosure: and

Whistleblower Policy

The belief or suspicion is the reason (whole or in part) for the conduct.

Threats, (whether express or implied) to cause detriment to a discloser (or another person) is not permitted.

Detrimental conduct, which is prohibited under law includes:

- Dismissal, injury to employment, alteration of position or duties
- Discrimination between an workers and other workers
- Harassment or intimidation
- Harm or injury to a person, property or reputation
- Damage to a person's business or financial position
- Any other damage

Detrimental conduct does <u>not</u> include:

- Administrative action which is reasonable to protect the discloser from detriment
- Management action to manage a discloser's unsatisfactory work performance

If a discloser is subject to administrative or management action, they will be informed of the reasons for taking this action.

Compensation and other remedies

A discloser (or any other worker or person) can seek compensation and other remedies through the courts if:

- They suffer loss, damage or injury because of a disclosure;
- Windlab failed to take reasonable precautions and exercise due diligence to prevent the detrimental conduct.

If a person wishes to proceed with seeking compensation and/or other remedies from the courts independent legal advice should be sought.

7. Civil, criminal and administrative liability protection

A discloser is protected from the below in regard to their disclosure:

- Civil liability
 - 1) Such as legal action against a discloser for breach of an employment contract or duty of confidentiality
- Criminal liability
 - 1) Such as attempted prosecution of the discloser for unlawfully releasing information
 - 2) This *does not* include protections for making a false disclosure

Whistleblower Policy

These protections do not grant immunity for misconduct by the discloser which is revealed throughout the disclosure procedure.

8. SUPPORT AND PRACTICAL PROTECTION FOR DISCLOSURES

Windlab is committed to supporting disclosers and protecting disclosers from detriment throughout the disclosure process.

8.1 Protection of a discloser's identity

8.1.1 Receiving a disclosure

- All personal information or reference to the discloser witnessing an event will be redacted
- The discloser will be referred with a pseudonym
- Where possible, the discloser will be contacted to help identify certain aspects of their disclosure that could inadvertently identify them
- Disclosures will be handled and investigated by qualified staff

8.1.2 Record keeping

- All paper and electronic documents and other materials relating to disclosures will be stored securely
- Access to all information relating to a disclosure will be limited to those directly involved in managing and investigating the disclosure
- Only a restricted number of people who are directly involved in handling and investigating a
 disclosure will be made aware of a discloser's identity (subject to the discloser's consent) or
 information that is likely to lead to the identification of the discloser
- Communication and documents relating to the investigation of a disclosure will not be sent to an email address or printed that can be accessed by other staff
- Each person who is involved in handling and investigating a disclosure will be reminded about the confidentiality requirements

8.2 Protection from detrimental acts or omissions³

8.2.1 Detriment in the workplace

Windlab will assess the risk of detriment against a discloser and other persons once a disclosure is received.

Actions to protect a discloser from potential detriment include:

- Allowing a discloser to perform their duties at a different location or reassigning a discloser to a different role at the same level
- Ensuring that Disclosure Officers are aware of the need to manage conflicts, ensure fairness and assess the risk of harm to the discloser

A discloser can lodge a grievance with Stopline if they have suffered detriment.

8.3 Personal Wellbeing

In some instances, personal wellbeing services may be offered to a discloser, or those subject to detriment including:

- Support services, such as counselling or psychologist services
- Strategies to help a discloser minimize and manage stress, time, performance impacts and other challenges resulting from a disclosure and subsequent investigation
- If personal detriment has occurred Windlab may take disciplinary action to individuals who contributed to the detriment

9 HANDLING AND INVESTIGATING A DISCLOSURE

9.1 Receiving a disclosure

Once Windlab, or a service provider authorized by Windlab to receive whistleblowing disclosures receives a disclosure it will assess each disclosure to determine whether:

- The disclosure qualifies for protection; and
- A formal, in-depth investigation is required.

9.2 When and why a disclosure will be investigated

Disclosures raised will be received and treated seriously and with the utmost sensitivity. Whilst making a disclosure doesn't guarantee a matter will be formally investigated, all disclosures will be reviewed and based on the disclosures nature and evidence provided. From here a decision will be made as to whether the disclosure warrants an investigation.

The determination on whether to investigate the disclosure, the following be considered:

- The objective seriousness of the disclosure
- The quality of information or evidence provided
- Whether the disclosure concerns serious systemic conduct
- Whether similar disclosures have been received
- Whether the conduct involves Disclosure Officers, significant financial matters, or noncompliance

Whistleblower Policy

Windlab may not be able to undertake an investigation if it is unable to contact the discloser and/or if the discloser has refused to provide or has not provided a means of contacting them. If this is the case, Windlab may opt to conduct a broad review on the subject matter disclosed.

9.3 Investigation Process

Windlab is committed to conducting objective, fair, and independent investigations where this cannot be fulfilled by Windlab in part, Windlab will opt for a completely external investigation. Throughout the investigation process, confidentiality requirements outlined in points 6(A) and 7(A) will continue to be applied.

For disclosures that qualify for protection, Windlab will follow the below investigation process:

No.	Process	Description	
1	Receive the disclosure	Disclosures will be received by a Disclosure Officer.	
2	Acknowledge the disclosure	A Disclosure Officer will acknowledge receipt of the disclosure within a reasonable timeframe.	
3	Assess the disclosure and address immediate risks	A Disclosure Officer will assess the disclosure determining: 1) Whether the disclosure fulfils the criteria outlined in points 1-4 2) The objective seriousness of the disclosure 3) The quality of information or evidence provided 4) Whether the disclosure concerns serious systemic conduct 5) Whether similar disclosures have been received 6) Whether the conduct involves Disclosure Officers, significant financial matters, or non-compliance 7) Whether the disclosure warrants an investigation	
4	Resolve the disclosure	For disclosures that do not meet the criteria in points 1-4 or do not warrant an investigation the Disclosure Officer will seek to resolve the disclosure directly with the discloser or redirect their disclosure to the appropriate Personal Grievance Policy.	
5	Investigate or review the disclosure	Stopline, a Disclosure Officer of Windlab will conduct an investigation. All workers of Windlab must cooperate fully with any investigators.	

6	Provide regular updates throughout the process	Stopline will provide regular updates to disclosers and Windlab regarding the process of the investigation.
7	Provide the final outcome	The findings of the investigation will be provided to Windlab and communicated as appropriate to the discloser and involved Parties.
8	Close the investigation and record the outcome	Stopline will close out the investigation and maintain appropriate records.
9	Facilitate ongoing support for those involved	Windlab will provide referrals to professional services to disclosers and other employees as appropriate.
10	Continuous improvement	Stopline will provide recommendations for improvement for future investigations.

9.4 Closing the loop on investigations

A discloser will be provided with regular updates so long as the discloser can be contacted, the main updates will be when the investigation:

- has begun;
- is in process; and
- has been finalized.

A person subject of an allegation will be provided the:

- information regarding the allegation and the nature of the allegation to the extent lawful;
- opportunity to respond to the allegation; and
- outcome of the investigation or report.

Pending the nature of the disclosure, timelines of when a discloser will be provided an update will vary. The discloser may be informed of the outcomes of an investigation, there may be circumstances where it may not be appropriate to provide details of the outcome to the discloser.

If a discloser is dissatisfied with the outcome of the investigation the discloser may:

- Request a review be conducted by an officer who is not involved in handling and investigating disclosures and provide the review findings to the Risk Committee; or
- Lodge a complaint with ASIC or the ACNC.

Windlab is not obliged to reopen an investigation where it can confirm:

- The investigation was conducted properly
- New information is not available
- New information would not change the findings of the investigation

10 FAIR TREATMENT OF INDIVIDUALS MENTIONED IN A DISCLOSURE

Windlab is committed to fair treatment of its workers who are mentioned in a disclosure that qualifies for protections under the Act, including those who are subject of a disclosure.

To ensure fair treatment of individuals mentioned in a disclosure:

- Disclosures will be handled confidentially in accordance with point 6(A) and 7(A)
- Each disclosure will be assessed as to whether the conduct listed warrants an investigation. The investigation is conducted to determine whether there is sufficient evidence to substantiate the claims made in the disclosure.
- Investigations will be objective, fair and independent as outlined in point 8.
- A worker will have the contents of the disclosure put to them as required by principles of procedural fairness and prior to any action being taken
- A worker who is subject of a disclosure may access personal wellbeing services outlined in point 7(c).

11 ACCOUNTABILITY & CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

This Policy will be reviewed periodically, and training may be recommended by Disclosure Officers or the Board of Windlab as appropriate.

Key themes of disclosures will be provided to the board to show patterns of behaviour and systemic issues. All information will not contain personal information.

